		Application No. Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	an/	10/061,174	YAMAKADO, HITOSHI	
	ai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		LEYNNA T. HA	2135	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>AB</u>	ANDONMENT	
(1) <u>LEYNNA T. HA</u> .		(3)		
(2) Linda Satiel.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 5 December 2006		Time: <u>1:10 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: N/A				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			÷	
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
Nelma Vic				
	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appro	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner telephoned Ms.Linda Satiel to inquire the status of the application because there has not been a reply since the Pre-Brief Appeal Conference decision (7/20/2006). Ms.Satiel confirmed the applicants will not proceed further and therefore abandoned.